

United States Patent and Trademark Office



m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,375	09/28/2000	Makarem A. Hussein	04290.P6126D	8484
75	90 09/01/2004	EXAMINER		
	off Taylor & Zafman Ll	OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
12400 Wilshire Seventh Floor	Boulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nce

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/672,375		HUSSEIN, MAKAREM A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Douglas W Owens	2811		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>30 January 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.			e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		Th	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		Th	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Oth	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		_	e Honorable Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences has found the following errors in the claims after a review of the pendix and the amendment filed on June 3, 2002:

In line 6 of claim 12, after "sidewall" add --of the via--; and in line 7 of claim 12, after "sidewall" add --of the via--.

Note the marked-up version of the June 3 amendment.

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800